



HEALTH RESEARCH &
EDUCATIONAL TRUST
In Partnership with AHA

Does Strong Patient Dissatisfaction Predict Decisions To Leave Hospitals Against Medical Advice?

12th CAHPS and 2nd SOPS User Group Meeting

Steve Hines, PhD

April 21, 2010

Track: The Evolution of CAHPS Surveys
Session: Relating H-CAHPS Scores to Other
Measures of Quality
Date & Time: April 21, 2010, 8:00 am
Track Number: CAHPS T1 – S4

TRANSFORMING HEALTH CARE THROUGH RESEARCH AND EDUCATION



HRET
HEALTH RESEARCH &
EDUCATIONAL TRUST
In Partnership with AHA

Overview

- Rationale for the study
- Approach/ methods
- What we found
- What it means (and might mean)

Project Rationale

- Leaving hospitals against medical advice (AMA; self discharge) is growing problem
 - National AMA rate estimate—1.2%
 - 39% increase from 1997-2007
 - Total number estimated for 2010:
412,750

Stranges, E. (Thomson Reuters), Wier, L. (Thomson Reuters), Merrill, C. (Thomson Reuters), and Steiner, C. (AHRQ). *Hospitalizations in which Patients Leave the Hospital against Medical Advice (AMA)*, 2007. HCUP Statistical Brief #78. August 2009. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD.

Project Rationale

- Three primary foci for research on AMA
 - Causes:
 - Mental illness; substance abuse
 - Insurance status (uninsured & Medicaid)
 - Patient characteristics
 - Male
 - African American
 - Poverty
 - Medical conditions: chest pain, diabetes

Project Rationale

- Three primary foci for research on AMA
 - Consequences of AMAs:
 - Readmissions
 - Complications
 - Costs to patient and health system
 - Preventing AMAs
 - Identifying high risk patients
 - Interventions to reduce risks

Project Rationale

- Hospital's role in contributing to AMAs not examined
 - Large variability in AMA rates that seem to treat similar patient populations
 - Patient-centered analysis shifts question:
 - From: What's wrong with the patient?
 - To: What's wrong with the patient experience?

Project Rationale

Preliminary test of link between AMA and bad patient experiences in hospitals. If patient experience contributes to AMAs, then:

- HCAHPS scores and AMA rates should be related (H1)
- Patient dissatisfaction should be a stronger AMA predictor than patient satisfaction (H2)
- Relationship should be larger after controlling for other patient factors (H3)

Methods

- Data:
 - AMA info from 2007 Medicare claims
 - HCAHPS data from Hospital Compare (2008)
- Exclusions:
 - General acute care hospitals w HCAHPS data
 - At least 200 Medicare discharges of patients 65+
 - Excluded Medicare patients under 65 or in Medicare due to disability

Methods

- Measure construction
 - AMA rates: based on discharge status code
 - Covariates: diagnosis and procedure codes
 - HCAHPS rates:
 - Pt satisfaction: average of 10 dimensions for pct of patients who rated hospital in top category
 - Pt dissatisfaction: average of 10 dimensions for pct of patients who rated hospital in bottom category
 - Both measures highly reliable (alphas =.93)
 - Strong inverse correlation— $r = -.92$ (mathematical necessity)

Results

- H1: Relationship between HCAHPS and AMA rates confirmed
 - Patient dissatisfaction accounted for 23% of the variance in risk adjusted AMA rates ($p < .001$)
- H2: Dissatisfaction was a stronger predictor of AMA than satisfaction
 - Patient satisfaction accounted for 15% of the variance in risk adjusted AMA rates—significantly less ($z = 4.3$, $p < .001$)

Results

- H3: Inclusion of covariates in model substantially increased variance accounted for:

Relationships between AMA Rates and Satisfaction Measures*

	N	Patient Satisfaction	Patient Dissatisfaction
AMA High Risk White	893	-.10	.09
AMA High Risk Non-White	233	-.19	.21
AMA Low Risk White	2361	-.40	.51
AMA Low Risk Non-White	1711	-.33	.41

*High risk rates based on patients treated for substance abuse or psychiatric conditions. All relationships are significant at $p < .01$. N represents the number of hospitals on which the relationship was calculated.

What Does This Mean

- No proof of causal relationship, but good reason to further explore the tip of the iceberg hypothesis: more bad patient experiences may lead to more AMA cases
- Good reason to supplement current AMA research with research focused on patient experience
- Good reason to attend to dissatisfaction scores—not just satisfaction

Qualifiers

- Further risk adjustment and updates of HCAHPS data ongoing
- Value in replicating with all-payer data
- Anecdotal stories of poor AMA coding
- Value of focused study on why low risk patients leave hospitals AMA—may be missing key risk factors or covariates

Questions

Contact information: shines3@aha.org